

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Woshington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/217,389	12/21/1998	ONDREJ SUCH	777.154US1	8400
26389 7	590 12/31/2002			
CHRISTENSEN, O'CONNOR, JOHNSON, KINDNESS, PLLC 1420 FIFTH AVENUE SUITE 2800			EXAMINER	
			ZHEN, LI B	
SEATTLE, WA	4 98101 ₋ 2347			
SEATTLE, WZ	1 70101-2347		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2126	G
			DATE MAILED: 12/31/2002	-(

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				1k			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	h.			
Advisory Action		09/217,389	SUCH, ONDREJ				
	,. , ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Li B. Zhen	2126				
The N	NAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress			
Therefore, furth final rejection us condition for all	LED 02 December 2002 FAILS TO PLACE action by the applicant is required to a nder 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (fowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appet CE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this appli 1) a timely filed amendment wh	cation. A proper rejich places the application	ply to a cation in			
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]							
b) The perion event, he ONLY C 706.07(f Extensions of the have been filed is the 37 CFR 1.17(a) is considered.	od for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advivever, will the statutory period for reply expire later the HECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS.). The may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of the period of extensiculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened of t	risory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the date of the control of the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE CONTROL OF THE CONT	of the final rejection. IE FINAL REJECTION. \$ 136(a) and the appropriate extended to the final Office action; or	See MPEP e extension fee tension fee under (2) as set forth in			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.							
2. The prop	osed amendment(s) will not be entered b	ecause:					
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
(b) 🗌 they	raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	pelow);					
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or							
(d) ☐ they NO	present additional claims without cancel E:	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected clair	ns.			
3. Applicant	's reply has overcome the following rejec	tion(s):					
4. Newly pro	oposed or amended claim(s) would the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a	separate, timely filed	d amendment			
5.☑ The a)☐ affidavit, b)☐ exhibit, or c)☑ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .							
	avit or exhibit will NOT be considered beon the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	re newly			
	ses of Appeal, the proposed amendment ion of how the new or amended claims w			and an			
The statu	s of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s)	allowed:						
Claim(s)	objected to:						
Claim(s)	rejected:						
Claim(s)	withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The propo	osed drawing correction filed on is	a) approved or b) disap	proved by the Exam	niner.			
9. Note the	attached Information Disclosure Stateme	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(8)	<u></u> .				
10. Other: ALVIN OBERLEY							
		SUPERVISORY PA TECHNOLOGY	TENT EXAMINER				

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the combination of the references cited by the examiner clearly teaches the applicant's invention (see Final Office Action). Applicant appears to make the same arguments as the previous response, please see the Final Office Action.